

Assessment and Resolution of DRPs at Zion **Nuclear Power** Station

> Greg C. Chapman Sr. Health Physicist











### **Overview**

- Zion Decommissioning Project up to 2022
- Discovery of Discrete Radioactive Particles (DRPs)
- Initial attempts to assess impact of DRPs
- Efforts to understand the DRP situation
- Enlisting Office of Research and ORISE to assist with evaluating survey techniques and potential impacts
- NRC's innovative approach to the 2023 **Confirmatory Survey**
- Resolution of DRPs
- New guidance to share with Industry

## **Zion Decommissioning Project**









- 1998







### Zion Units 1 and 2 permanently ceased operations in February

**Decommissioning activities** beginning 2010 The original Post Shutdown **Decommissioning Activities** Report (PSDAR), submitted in February 2000, described an approach where the site would enter a period of SAFSTOR dormancy from approximately 2000-2015, with license termination occurring by 2026 The license termination plan (LTP) for the site was submitted in December 2014 and approved in September 2018 The LTP was silent on DRPs

### **Discrete Radioactive Particles Onsite**



- The licensee did not address DRPs as part of its LTP because the operational history of the site and pre-decommissioning surveys led to the conclusion that none were present before decommissioning started.
- Since 2012, as part of the decommissioning activities, hundreds of discrete radioactive particles had been transported to outdoor areas of the site during the decommissioning process, including certain site areas that had already received final surveys.
- The licensee knew about the particles and had been removing them up to and during the time of the LTP review.
- Although other sites have addressed DRPs during decommissioning, there was little existing guidance, making it difficult to address DRP contamination during this final stage of the decommissioning process.
- Staff elected to pursue a verification survey in April 2021 to assess this issue (expected/hoped to find no DRPs but instead found 9 DRPs in a limited survey, including a fuel flea)





## Why are DRPs an Issue?



- A DRP is a relatively high activity particle, insoluble in water...essentially a point source
  - Spent fuel particles from fuel rod failures
  - Activated metal from component wear during reactor operations
  - Activated metal and concrete chips or cuttings from segmentation activities using saws or other methods
- Typical residual radioactivity at time of license termination is diffuse material in soil, structures, or groundwater
  - RESRAD or DandD (environmental pathways software) are used to establish a conceptual site model and derive DCGLs for demonstrating compliance at 25 mrem/y

VS

DCGLs are not applicable for assessing DRPs nor is surveying for "point" sources addressed in MARSSIM

























# Initial Attempts to assess impact of DRPs



	Table 5.2. Summary of Particle Total Activities (pCi)*												
Sample	Am-241	Ba-133	Cm-244	Co-60	Cs-134	Cs-137	Eu-152	Eu-154	Eu-155	Np-237	Pu-238	Pu-239b	Sr-90
S0112A	01112A 0. Neutron activation of reactor corrosion products. Nal		48400	36	-27	-20	-40	Sample was easy to collect.		0.059	-0.21		
S01160.response was near background levels. Sample was difficult to isolate and collect. Technician			18400	22	-4	-28	0	Neutron activation of the reactor bioshield (e.g., Eu- 152, Eu-154, Ba-133).			0.041	-0.34	
S0120	0.	a shover to approximately diamet	v 50 cm in er.	378	11	0.6	20000	1100	13	-0.011	0.043	0.063	0.83
S0124	0.12	-300	0.06	1508000	1200	1000	-500	2000	-10	0.020	0.14	0.079	0.49
S0126	79900	-11	14800	62	12	98900	-70	2920	838	3.9c	26188	7 <mark>54</mark> 0	157043
S204AEu	0.028	1520	On surfac fragment. Sa	e. Irradiated fu ample was eas	el sy to	-	344000	16200	-	-0.028	-0.028	16.6	0.8
*Bold bPu-2	led values 239 value i	indicate ncludes c	scoop materi	al with hand tro	owel. did	not inclu	de zero		Less than 15 Sample requ	5 cm from surfa	ace.	1	L

Result is statistically positive, however, review of the alpha spectrum indicated the of Pu-242 Less than 15 cm from surface. Sample required effort to isolate and collect. Neutron activation of the reactor bioshield (e.g., Eu-152, Eu-154, Ba-133).









### cely the result

	Preliminary HQ Dose Estimates: Particles Collected During April 2021 Zion Survey (07/08/2021)												
Particle Type (Sample	Inhala (mr	tion dose em/yr)	Ingestion dose (mrem/ <u>yr</u> )				Skin contact; shallow dose equivalent (mrem) <sup>j</sup>	Skin contact; deep dose					
ID) a	ICRP 30 adult <sup>f</sup>	ICRP 72 age- dependent 9	ICRP 30 adult	ICRP 72 age- dependent h	ICRP-72 age- dependent <sup>j</sup>			equivalent (miem).					
Fuel (S-126) <sup>b</sup>	49,300	677 to 32,000	329 <sup>k</sup>	118 to 1,910	4 to 42		5,230	4					
Concrete (S-204) <sup>c</sup>	92	2 to 179	3	2 to 28	2 to 15		2,070	25					
Concrete (S-120) d	5	<1 to 10	<1	<1 to 1	7		114	1					
Act. Metal (S-124) <sup>e</sup>	328	9 to 683	15	19 to 301	9 to 67		9,470	192					
Act. Metal (S-112A) <sup>e</sup>	11	<1 to 22	<1	<1 to 10	<1 to 2		303	6					
Act. Metal (S-116) <sup>e</sup>	4	<1 to 8	<1	<1 to 4	<1		114	2					



## Preliminary Dose Estimates

a The b Rac c Rac	ere are no measurements of particle sizes. It is not known whether the particles containing the radioactivity were visible to the human eye. dionuclides measured: <sup>60</sup> Co, <sup>90</sup> Sr, <sup>137</sup> Cs, <sup>154</sup> Eu, <sup>155</sup> Eu, <sup>238</sup> Pu, <sup>239</sup> Pu, <sup>241</sup> Am, and <sup>244</sup> Cm. dionuclides measured: <sup>60</sup> Co, <sup>133</sup> Ba, <sup>152</sup> Eu, <sup>154</sup> Eu, and <sup>239</sup> Pu.
<sup>b</sup> Rad	dionuclides measured: <sup>60</sup> Co, <sup>90</sup> Sr, <sup>137</sup> Cs, <sup>154</sup> Eu, <sup>155</sup> Eu, <sup>238</sup> Pu, <sup>239</sup> Pu, <sup>241</sup> Am, and <sup>244</sup> Cm. dionuclides measured: <sup>60</sup> Co, <sup>133</sup> Ba, <sup>152</sup> Eu, <sup>154</sup> Eu, and <sup>239</sup> Pu.
c Rad	dionuclides measured: <sup>60</sup> Co, <sup>133</sup> Ba, <sup>152</sup> Eu, <sup>154</sup> Eu, and <sup>239</sup> Pu.
d Rad	dionuclides measured: 60Co, 152Eu, and 154Eu.
e Rad	dionuclide measured: <sup>60</sup> Co.
† ICR	RP 30 adult doses shown for 1 micron activity median aerodynamic diameter (AMAD).
g Rar	nge of age-dependent doses shown for 0.3 to 100 microns, and ages 3 months (newborn) to 20 years (adult).
h Rar	nge of age-dependent doses shown for 3 months (newborn) to 20 years (adult), and default ICRP f1 values.
J Ass	sumes no uptake (dose coefficients generated using DCAL 9.4 with f1 = 1E-30). This bounding case is unrealistic for all particles. In comparison, ICRP-134, ICRP-137, and ICRP-141 (technical basis documents for ICRP-100 Human Alimentary Tract Model) contain information on ingestion of irradiated fuel fragments, with f values ranging from 0.2 to 5E-6 for the radionuclides in this table.
j Skir pre:	n dose calculated using VARSKIN 6.2.1, assuming each particle is spherical with a 100 µm diameter, Zeff=56, density=2.4 g/cm <sup>3</sup> , and using radionuclide data from ICRP-38 w/ daughters sent, except for isotopes of Pu, Am, and Cm. The assumed exposure time is 24 hours.
k Reg	gion III estimated an ingestion dose of 273 mrem/ɣr assuming the particle only contained 75 nCi of 241Am.









## **RAIs and Discussions with the** Licensee



### **Biggest Questions:**

How good were the surveys for DRPs? What could have been "missed?"

What is the potential impact to an average member of the critical group?

Licensee Responses



Research (mostly complete by late 2022) Scan MDA for DRPs (ORISE) DCFs for DRPs (RES&RCD)







### **Management Interactions**

## Progress



- Spring 2023, the licensee declared they had removed all "known" DRPs from the site and that the site was considered releasable.
- Staff determined a confirmatory survey would provide reasonable assurance that the site was releasable:
  - Developed criteria (i.e., no "risk significant" DRPs) •
    - Established a dose level that was "risk significant" for DRPs
      - No deterministic effects
      - Stochastic dose (TEDE) not to exceed public dose limits
    - Worked with ORISE to develop survey plan
      - Surface & Subsurface soil
  - Any DRP identified to be collected an analyzed
  - Plan was reviewed by both management and licensee
  - Survey conducted over 3 weeks (non-concurrent) during 2023 with licensee assistance for excavation
  - Extent of condition survey conducted by licensee afterwards (other areas that became suspect during confirmatory survey)





## Scan Sensitivities ML24004A133

Air

Soil

Optimistic









Table 4.1. DRP scan MDA for various scan conditions and a surveyor velocity of 0.25 m/s (uCi)

Particle		Radio	nuclide a	nd Grou	nd-to-Det	ector Di	stance				
Depth in	Co-	·60	Cs-2	137	Th-	232	Am-241				
Soil	7.5 cm	10 cm	7.5 cm	10 cm	7.5 cm	10 cm	7.5 cm	10 cm			
		Optin	nistic Scer	nario (Fi	gure 2.1a)						
Surface	0.09	0.11	0.16	0.21	0.04	0.05	0.35	0.45			
7.5 cm	0.15	0.18	0.33	0.38	0.12	0.13	-	-			
15 cm	0.31	0.34	0.77	0.85	0.29	0.33	-	-			
30 cm	1.2	-	4.2	-	-	-	-	-			
Pessimistic Scenario (Figure 2.1b)											
Surface	0.37	0.38	0.74	0.75	0.19	0.19	1.7	1.7			
7.5 cm	0.70	0.67	1.8	1.6	0.66	0.61	-	-			
15 cm	1.2	1.1	3.4	3.2	1.3	1.3	-	-			

\_

"-" indicates the calculation shows the DRP cannot be identified during scans. All values rounded to two significant digits or the hundredth position.

14.1

Table 4.2. DRP scan MDA for various scan conditions and a surveyor velocity of 0.5 m/s (uCi)

3.4

\_

30 cm

Particle		Radi	onuclide	and Grou	und-to-Det	ector Dist	ance	
Depth in	Co	-60	Cs-137		Th-	232	Am-241	
Soil	7.5 cm	10 cm	7.5 cm	10 cm	7.5 cm	10 cm	7.5 cm	10 cm
		Opti	mistic Sco	enario (F	igure 2.1a)	1		
Surface	0.12	0.15	0.23	0.29	0.06	0.07	0.50	0.63
7.5 cm	0.22	0.25	0.47	0.54	0.16	0.19	-	-
15 cm	0.43	0.48	1.09	1.2	0.41	0.46	-	-
30 cm	1.7	-	5.9	-	-	-	-	-
		Pessi	mistic Sc	enario (F	igure 2.1b)	)		
Surface	0.52	0.53	1.0	1.1	0.27	0.28	2.4	2.4
7.5 cm	0.99	0.94	2.5	2.3	0.93	0.86	-	-
15 cm	1.7	1.6	4.9	4.6	1.9	1.8	-	-
30 cm	4.9	-	20	-	-	-	-	-

Particle		Radi	onuclide	and Grou	und-to-Det	ector Dist	ance	-
Depth in	Co-60		Cs-	Cs-137		232	Am-241	
Soil	7.5 cm	10 cm	7.5 cm	10 cm	7.5 cm	10 cm	7.5 cm	10 cm
		Opti	mistic Sco	enario (F	igure 2.1a)			
Surface	0.12	0.15	0.23	0.29	0.06	0.07	0.50	0.63
7.5 cm	0.22	0.25	0.47	0.54	0.16	0.19	-	-
15 cm	0.43	0.48	1.09	1.2	0.41	0.46	-	-
30 cm	1.7	-	5.9	-	-	-	-	-
		Pessi	imistic Sc	enario (F	igure 2.1b)	)		
Surface	0.52	0.53	1.0	1.1	0.27	0.28	2.4	2.4
7.5 cm	0.99	0.94	2.5	2.3	0.93	0.86	-	-
15 cm	1.7	1.6	4.9	4.6	1.9	1.8	-	-
30 cm	4.9	-	20	-	-	-	-	-

"-" indicates the calculation shows the DRP cannot be identified during scans. All values rounded to two significant digits or the hundredth position.

\_

-

\_

## Surface and Subsurface Soil Surveys











## **Confirmatory Survey/Extent of Condition Survey Results**



- No DRPs found in subsurface soil
- 13 DRPs found/collected in surface soil
  - All either activated metal (10) or activated concrete (3)

Particle Type	Co-60 Min (uCi)	Co-60 Max (uCi)		
Activated Metal	0.014	0.38		
Activated Concrete	5.7E-4	3.0 E-3		

Laborator	v Results	(data	entered in	pCi: sprea	dsheet cal	ulates Bo	for dose ca	lculations)					
	DRP	(	DRP	p 0., op: cu	DRP		Concrete	,	Concrete		Concrete		DRP
Sample ID	5375M00	01	5375M00	02	5375M00	03	5375M00	04-1	5375M00	04-2	5375M00	05	5375M0
	pCi	Bq	pCi	Bq	pCi	Bq	pCi	Bq	pCi	Bq	pCi	Bq	pCi
Co-60	5.31E+04	1.96E+03	8.21E+04	3.04E+03	1.18E+05	4.37E+03	5.70E+02	2.11E+01	9.70E+02	3.59E+01	3.04E+03	1.12E+02	3.83E+0
Ni-59	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	2.30E+01	8.51E-01	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0
Ni-63	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	5.20E+05	1.92E+04	6.90E+01	2.55E+00	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0
Sr-90	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	2.4	8.88E-02	1.3	4.81E-02	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0
Ba-133	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	1.00E+02	3.70E+00	0
Cs-137	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	2.40E+01	8.88E-01	1.43E+0
Eu-152	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	4.60E+03	1.70E+02	1.55E+04	5.74E+02	6.06E+04	2.24E+03	0
Eu-154	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	2.90E+02	1.07E+01	1.05E+03	3.89E+01	4.37E+03	1.62E+02	0
Eu-155	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0
Pu-238	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0
Pu-239	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0
Am-241	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0
Cm-244	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0	0.00E+00	0









## How to generically assess hypothetical **DRP dose**



- Practical evaluations of sampling of particles (size/applicability to hypothetical exposure pathways)
- Dose coefficients: ML23136A207

	EDE Dose (	Coefficients (Sv/	Bq h)	Table 9. CEDE co	efficients for ingested	DRPs other than fuel fragme
Nuclide	External Chest Surface	Upper Respiratory Tract	Gastrointestinal Tract	CEDE Coefficient (Sv/Bq)		
		Stellite 6		Stellite <mark>(</mark> Ζ = 33; ρ	= 8.4 g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	
Co-60	5.80E-10	8.80E-08	2.70E-09	Co-60	1.80E-(	09
	Ir	nconnel 718		Inconel (Ζ = 29; ρ	= 8.2 g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	
Ni-59	4.60E-13	2.80E-09	3.50E-10	Ni-59	2.90E-:	11
Ni-62	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	Ni-63	7.10E-	11
	Regu	latory Concrete		NRC Concrete (Z	= 10; ρ = 2.3 g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	
Fe-55	4.10E-14	2.60E-09	2.40E-10	Fe-55	2.40E-:	11
Co-60	5.80E-10	8.80E-08	2.70E-09	Co-60	1.80E-(	09
Ba-133	5.50E-09	2.30E-08	1.40E-08	Ba-133	5.20E-	10
Eu-152	1.30E-08	4.10E-08	2.90E-08	Eu-152	1.20E-(	09
Eu-154	1.40E-08	4.30E-08	3.00E-08	Eu-154	1.90E-	09



U.S.NRC



## **Assessment of Zion Site DRPs**



- At conclusion of surveys
  - NRC staff needed reasonable assurance that no risk significant DRPs remain
    - Conservative Assumption: A small probability some DRPs may still be present
      - Best represented by DRPs collected during last surveys
      - The potential exposure of a member of the public to a DRP had to be considered
      - Assess as a "less likely but probable" scenario
    - $\checkmark$  For a DRP to not be of "risk significance"
      - Potential dose to avg member of critical group should be <100 mrem TEDE</li>
      - No potential for deterministic effects (e.g., skin dose/ LDE < 50 rem)
    - ✓ Scenario considered: construction worker
      - Is most likely to be doing work that would resuspend a particle (inhalation/ingestion)
      - May take "long lunch" nap for 2 hrs on ground (skin/direct exposure)
      - Particle could be stuck on skin until showering 12 hr (skin/direct exposure)





## **Assessing potential for inhalation** and ingestion



- Estimating DRP aerodynamic equivalent sizes
  - Given measured activity in particles
  - Given licensee site characterization for max material activity densities for concrete and metal
  - Simple geometry calculations
  - Given methods in ICRP 66 for aerodynamic equivalency

### For Concrete:

$$Volume (cm^{3}) = \frac{Activity_{Co-60}}{Max \ Concentration_{Co-60} * \rho} \qquad Volume (cm^{3}) = \frac{570 \ pCi}{1,090} \frac{pCi}{g} * 2.35 \ g/cm^{3} = 0.223 \ cm^{3}$$

$$Volume_{sphere} (cm^{3}) = \frac{4}{3} \ \pi \ r^{3}$$

$$r = \sqrt[3]{\frac{3}{4\pi}} Volume_{sphere} (cm^{3})$$

$$d_{ae} = d_{e} \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{\chi}}$$





,3

## **Estimating DRP aerodynamic** equivalent sizes



### For Metal Particle:

$$Volume_{sphere} = \frac{1.42E - 2\,\mu Ci}{4.06E4} \frac{\mu Ci}{cm^3} = 3.5E - 7\,cm^3$$

$$d_e = 2r = 2 * \sqrt[3]{\frac{3}{4\pi} 3.5E - 7(cm^3)} = 0.0087 \ cm = 87 \ \mu m$$

$$d_{ae} = 87 \,\mu m * \sqrt{\frac{8}{1.5}} = 201 \,\mu m$$

Estimated	Size of DRP	s	
from RAI 1	0 Response	equivlent	aerodynamic
	сс	diameter (um)	diameter (um)
M1	1.3E-06	136	313
M2	2E-06	157	362
M3	2.9E-06	177	409
M4-1	0.22253	7518	9411
M4-2	0.37868	8976	11235
M5	1.1868	13136	16442
M6	9.4E-06	262	605
M7	7E-06	237	548
M8	1.3E-06	136	314
M9	4.2E-07	93	214
M10	3.5E-07	87	202
M11	3.1E-06	180	416
ZS	4.7E-06	207	478

Initial Conclusions: All particles exceed 100 µm aerodynamic diameter so are not likely inhaled. Smallest concrete particle is still large (0.22 cm<sup>3</sup>) so is also not likely to be accidently ingested nor stuck on skin for 12 hr.







ic	
ım)	
313	
362	
409	
411	
235	
442	
505	
548	
314	
214	
202	
416	

### Assessing ingestion and skin/LDE dose

Use RDC dose coefficients (CEDE/SDE/LDE)



- Use generic frame estimates for time in GI tract
- Use 2 or 12 hr time frames for skin dose estimate

Transit Times	Typical Range	Max	GI Tract Mean Residence Times (hrs) from ICRP 30				
Upper Resp Tract	1-2 days	2 days	Stomach		1		
Small Intestine	1.8-8 hrs	10 hrs	Small Intestine		4		
Large Intestine	~36 hrs	5 days	Upper Large Intestine		13		
			Lower Large In	testing	24		

Most Activated Metal DRP					
Hypothetical Dose Category (Assumptions)	Calculated Dose	Public Dose Limit (TEDE)*	Additional Dose Estimates	Calculated Dose	
Effective Dose Equivalent (EDE) (located on upper torso for 12 hours)	9.9 mrem	100 mrem/y	Most activity Metal DRP located on skin for 12 hr	374 mrem SDE	
Committed Effective Dose Equivalent (CEDE) (assuming ingested)	2.6 mrem	100 mrem/y	Most activity Metal	1,600 mrem LDE	
Most Activated	Concrete DRP	100 mrom/v	GI tract (24 hr in LI)		
TEDE (In contact with skill on upper torso for 2 hours)	s when both an intern	al and external dose occu	Most activity Concrete DRP located on skin for 2 hr	15 mrem SDE	







### Applicable limit

### 50,000 mrem

### 50,000 mrem

### 50,000 mrem

Additional Dose Estimates	Calculated Dose	Applicable limi
Metal DRP @ 100 µm aerodynamic diameter in RT for 1 day and cleared through GI tract	9.2 mrem EDE	100 mrem
0.7 μCi Co-60 DRP (sensitivity limit in Table 4.1 for pessimistic scenario at 7.5 cm depth)	19.8 mrem EDE (2x the most activated metal DRP dose estimate)	100 mrem



### Final Conclusions on DRPs at the Zion site:

- Encountering a DRP at the site is very unlikely
  - Multiple scans have taken place (licensee and NRC contractor) and all DRPs identified have been removed
  - No subsurface DRPs found in representative sampling •
- If any DRPs remain, the activity will decay consistent with respective radionuclide half-lives
- Doses from any DRPs encountered by the public at the site are unlikely to exceed the public dose limit or cause deterministic effects, decreasing as they decay.

	Document No./Title	Date	Notes
	Issuance of <u>Dose Coefficients (DC) for Discrete</u> <u>Radioactive Particles (DRPs)</u> (ML23136A178) and <u>Ulcerations Threshold</u> <u>Recommendations (ML23136A207)</u> technical reports.	May 2023 and September 2022	Renaissance Code Development (RCD) pul discrete radioactive particles in decommissi
	Estimating Scan Minimum Detectable Activities of Discrete Radioactive Particles (ML24004A133)	January 2024 (final)	Discusses survey of DRPs and provides info MDAs for select radionuclides, depths and o changes were made to the final.
	Issuance of Information Notice 2024-01, Minimization and Control of Contamination Involving Discrete Radioactive Particles at Decommissioning Facilities (ML23195A074)	February 2024	Provides information to inform licensees of a detection and contamination control of hot p particles during plant operations and decom
	Issuance of: Interim Staff Guidance: Contamination Control, Radiological Survey, and Dose Modeling Considerations To Support License Termination at Sites With Environmental Discrete Radioactive Particle Contamination DUWP-ISG-03 (DRAFT)	September 26, 2024	The guidance is focused on contamination of dose modeling considerations for decommis environmental discrete radioactive particle of Register Notice <u>89 FR 78917</u> announcing a comment was issued on September 26, 202 ends on October 28, 2024.

blished two reports on dosimetry for ioning.

ormation on calculation of scan offset distances. No substantive

recent challenges involving particles or discrete radioactive nmissioning.

control, radiological survey and ssioning sites with the potential for contamination. The Federal vailability of the ISG for public 24. The public comment period







## Thank you

