

## A&P at the IRSN in brief



### **Emmanuel QUENTRIC**

Emergency Organization Department, IRSN

2024 Spring International Ramp Users' Group Meeting

> April 16–19 Seoul, South Korea





## General considerations







## **A&P Method and Tools** within the IRSN's response



### IRSN's A&P method ("Diagnosis-Prognosis")

- In place for 30+y for French PWRs
- Focuses on the states of the different containment barriers, functions and systems ensuring their integrity
- Was progressively adapted to other French nuclear facilities and other kinds of NPPs
- A&P for Consequences articulated with A&P for Facility

## Main Benefits of A&P method

- **Factual** and stuck to the key topics of concerns: makes the situation readable
- Structuration & Rhythm of the work of Emergency **Assessment Teams**
- Common language and discussion material between Assessment Teams
- Anticipation of the possible occurrence of significant releases, and of the related consequences  $\rightarrow$  **Decision** aiding for the protection of the people
- IAEA Assessment & Prognosis is in-line with 3D/3P



## A&P Method and **Tools within the IRSN's response**

- A&P implementation primarily rely on:
- ✓ Facility Assessments Unit
- ✓ Consequences Assessments Unit



- A&P conduct on state of facilities and source terms
- A&P conduct on consequences for the environment & exposure of people
- Data retrieving from the facility, met data, measurements...
- Iterative assessments combining modelling and measurements, specific operational assessment methods and tools

**Technical Crisis Center** 

Decision-aiding products



- people
- $\checkmark$
- $\checkmark$
- $\checkmark$
- $\checkmark$

### Sampling and measurement program conduct (local + **French territory**)

### **Execution of measurements** in the environment & on the

Remote monitoring of environment networks (470+ stations)

Mobile means projected on field

Fixed Laboratories network

Results centralized in specific databases

### **Measurements means**

## **General A&P Process**



- IRSN and operators share the A&P methodology
- IRSN and the operator implement A&P process independently
- Run Diagnosis, then run
  Prognosis
- A&P is executed periodically (update what is outdated!)
- IRSN and the operator compare their respective outputs before delivery to the decision-makers





## Diagnosis of the Situation

- Analysis of installation
- data
- ► Analysis of Meteorological
  - & Measurement data
- Assessment of installation
- status & release
- ► Assessment of the
- radiological consequences

### **General A&P Process**









## General A&P Methodology for the facility aspects



Facility data (automatic or paper) Around 30 selected parameters is enough!







### • Loss of a system may be due to

the depletion of water source (e.g. tank), of energy (e.g. batteries)...

the loss of a function required by the system under analysis

conditions beyond the equipment's qualification domain (temperature of fluids, humidity of filters...)

an operating procedure that will require for the system shutdown

## **General A&P Methodology for the facility** aspects – Further failure Prognosis



- The aim of the method is to identify as early as possible situations leading to core melt and, more generally, to the release of activity into the environment which could justify emergency protective actions
- Case of a large break with safety injection provided by a single available pump: • prognosis would not lead to PAR, but if lost, delay before significant releases would be too short to efficiently protect people...
- Additional step : "further failure" prognosis
  - Time before a significant release is a key topic to focus on
  - Amplitude of the prognosed release is also to be challenged















## **General A&P Methodology for the** consequences aspects





- Conservatism for ST assessment is generally delayrelated... but this not necessarily lead to conservative consequences assessment!
- Meteorological conditions can change dramatically during the event and the consequences depend for a large part on this...
  - Planning as a starting point, decision shall be adapted/completed due to the accident progression, the meteorological conditions, the available measurements
- Expertise products should take uncertainties into account
  - Several sources of uncertainties in assessments, related to source term timing and amplitude, met. forecasts, cross-timing between ST and met. conditions, modelling and parametrization....
  - In case of several available assessments, which output to issue ?. E.g. The one leading to larger distance? The one impacting the larger number of people ? etc.
  - Capabilities to obtain reasonably conservative results and to elaborate prudent assessment products is to be available







# A few words about the tools







## Tools to implement A&P in the Technical Crisis Center





## **3D/3P Grid – A common material for discussion**













	Receiver:	
	i	#
PROGNOSIS		
Forecast of safety functions status	Forecast of the barriers status	;
Reactivity control	CLAD - FUEL No clad failure	]
•	Clads failures at:	]
Dog under investore	Core melt at:	]
RCS water inventory	Capture rectang daire	
RCS heat removal	PRIMARY SYSTEM Intact Doubtful Primary break Inside containment PZR relief lines op. at outside containment	]
Containment .		
isolation systems efficiency, mosphere composition control)	Normal leak	] ]
RB heat removal	Leak to aux. buildings	]
	6	





						Restor From 12.10 M/I			
		I Harris and	then 1						
-			a	A.4	AC. 10		20.00	20.55	
			1000						
-	and Local I	Aller others   0	in the later later	one   Composed   Stration	e ma l'imposione	et et en			
-		Levels Volume		fam. also		Actual Name and Advances			
	18.6	Party and	1 8.00 s.	(Plone A	100	mean [		202-05-18 20:5	
				(Pitinan)	80.11 abh	aarans E	Barol	al al al al al al al	
		Person	18 5	officiant.	10.2 +14	BSCAPS	Bung .		
1			-	Taniesa		THAT I	- Bent		
		10110	1000	off and		- F	Band		
				ACI/Darana	- 120	100 F	COMPANY LAND	Augured data	
	15.5	02/10	10.00 %	ROUMENT		Alfreen IT	1.000R+0 am	- advects (prody)	
		10110	-	Peterse A	150	232 cmm	1 7775 17 418		
		061119	19.00	104.001	104	the states	1 1004-0 408	ALCONT DESCRIPTION	
	-	03115		001400	61+ K.D	Construction and and	100041 04	- 201	
		304101	1 1000	80.400	1.0 +24			Colour comage)	
		493	104 M at	994499	45.0 max				
				200305	0.02.44	manuf IT	Sec.	B full array	
		Surgit184		The same statut A.		Access 1	Band		
			-	The same station of		Access	- Barnet	in the second se	
				Sparranet.A.	484				
		-		Concernant 2	100.00 mile			Color code	
		78A3		Mine.	425			channel of the sensor	
		10.04	I IN a	Mineral I				Design of the local data	
-				and a second				and the second s	
				dillow B				dane i	

## E.g. Source term evaluation with PERSAN (Prognosis)









## **E.g. Source term evaluation with PERSAN (Prognosis)**









## E.g. Consequences assessments with C3X





Publication

Standard default

products (html,

docx, kml...

- Assessing Indicators, and whether/where/when PAGs might be exceeded
- Importance of prepared interoperability (source-term inputs, met. Inputs, consequences outputs...)







## E.g. Consequences assessments with C3X





• Elaborating products and messaging

![](_page_17_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_5.jpeg)

## E.g. Consequences assessments with C3X

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

• Provinding expertise map results

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)